



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJMCS_6730
Title of the Manuscript:	THE BINOMIAL AND MULTINOMIAL COMBINATORIAL CONVOLUTION SUMS
Type of the Article	Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Abstract: The author should say that the formulas involve divisor functions p. 2, l. 6: combinatoric -> combinatorial	I put the sentence "involving divisor functions" in abstract.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	p. 1, l. -8: deduce that -> deduce p. 2, l. 7: the Proposition 1.1 -> Proposition 1.1 see also the Lemma 2.2 and others Theorems 1.4 and 1.5: let the condition -> define	I correct the parts what the reviewer says. But since another reviewer wants to delete the Section 2, so you can not find Lemma 2.2, etc.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Some further recent papers should be included in the list of references, e.g. Ramakrishnan, B.; Sahu, Brundaban, Evaluation of the convolution sums <i>Int. J. Number Theory</i> 9 (2013), no. 3, 799–809. Kim, Daeyeoul; Kim, Aeran, Application of convolution sum... <i>J. Appl. Math. Inform.</i> 31 (2013), no. 1-2, 45–54.	I can not have ability to put other references, so I write only three papers in the reference part.